Preserve

App. F. IX-4

192 7.

File No. 52-27

Previous References

Serial Nos. 4/12-
216

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Decm-7

SUBJECT

Re the opening of Toll Gates on
District Fund Roads

To, The Deputy Commissioner, Jaffna
No. L 3760/L 27 12 26

matter certain observations
on the Suggestion of the D. C. Jaffna
in re. the Toll Gates

D.O. No. Ge 162/27-28

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

GENERAL REVENUE SECTION
C - SEP 1927

Dated Tumkur, the 23rd September 27

L 102/63 5&2-27-4

Sir,

I write to state that the understanding at the Joint Toll-gate Committee, as I remember, was that toll gates on Provincial roads should be established only at the frontiers of the Districts, and not in the interior. While summarising the report of the Joint Toll-gate Committee, there seems to be some error as can be seen from the Government Order of the 9th June 1927 issued on these points. May I request you to kindly verify the point with reference to the report of the Joint Committee and let me know how the matter has been decided. I shall feel highly obliged if a copy of the Joint Committee's report could be spared for my reference.

Thanking you in anticipation.

Yours sincerely,

P. Chandra

To

K. Srinivasa Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,
Assistant Secretary to Government,
General Departments, Bangalore.

The file containing the joint Toll Committee report has been put up with the file re programme of road works in Kunigal Taluk to Hebbur
The return of the file is awaited

Mr 9.9.27

2 yes- The Govt order

Govt order issued, Mr 10/9

P.T.O.

2

General and Revenue Secretariat. A/B File No. 52 of 192 7

Serial No.

3. Resubd with Lib. file 49-25-28 containing the joint Dock committee's report (Flag M & 6-0 & 9-6-27 thereon (Flag 7).

Flag M

Para 7 of the joint Committee's report relates to opening of Dock gates on Provincial roads & it is therein stated that "There is no objection to the opening of the Interior Dock gates mentioned in Appx III", of the report. The order

Flag 7

follows the report (vide para 2 (2)). The O/C may therefore be informed accordingly with an extract from para 7 of the report.

MK 27.10.27 What the Dc wants may perhaps be sent without any opinion being expressed on the point raised at this stage

MK 28/10/27. To what part of this order, Mr DC takes objection or wants clearing up is not clear. Copys of the report as promised

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0824 -GPB—60,000—24-5-27

1027

(3)

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. LB File No. 52 of 1927

Serial No.

Draft may be sent to him and he may be asked to state in detail the variance if any between the recommendations of the Committee & the Govt orders & the necessary action may be taken on his further Communication if any.

WD
28/10

Draft submitted

HMS

HM
31/10.

Please sign

WD
1/11

Sd
WD
5/11

To K.V. Anantaraman Esqr

IFA 2503 of 7-11-27.

Forwards copy of the Joint Coll committee and requests him to state in detail the variation he considers to exist between the Recommendation of the committee and the order of Govt thereon.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0824 -GPB—60,000—24 5-27

Govt. A
14/11/27

[redacted] and Revenue Secretariat. 203 File No. 52 of 192 7

Serial No.

D.O Letter no. G.C. 162/27-28 dated 18.11.27 from the Pdt. Dist Bd. Tumkur, requesting that certain points regarding the establishment of interior toll-gate may be made clear

The point to be made clear is whether two toll-gates, one as interior toll-gate and the other as frontier toll-gate, may be established on a State fund road within the limits of a single dist.

Submitted for orders.

The recommendations of the joint toll gate committee are contained in para 7 of their report. From a perusal of this the spirit of the recommendation seems to be that as few toll gates as possible shd be opened on State fund roads so that through traffic may not be unnecessarily hampered. Appendix II details the toll gates to be opened on the State frontier

16/12/27

M-

NOTE—Contn. sheet

5

General and Revenue Secretariat. 23 File No. 52 of 192 7

Serial No.

on provincial roads. As regards interior toll gates on State fund roads the spirit seems to be that not more than one should exist within the boundary of a district on a particular State road if possible none at all in certain districts. Whether the State ~~toll~~ road toll gates mentioned in Appendix III ~~to~~ are in consonance with the spirit of the joint committee recommendation has to be scrutinized and the order suitably modified

16/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. LB File No. 52 of 192 7

Serial No.

Mr. Anantaraman has written referring to the order on the report of the Joint Committee on tollgates that the instructions regarding tolls on State Trunk Roads are likely to be misconstrued as levying more than one toll on the same State Trunk Road. The order says that interior tollgates may be established as in appendix IV In appendix III a list of State Trunk Roads is given and shown against certain Dts. The idea is that there shd be one toll gate in ~~the~~ Dt shown in the list on the road there mentioned. ~~On the~~ On the Hosakote Chintamani Road for example there shd be a toll gate in Bangalore Dt and none in Kolar Dt though the Rd passes through Kolar Dt also. Similarly on the Kolar Hosakote Road there shd be a toll gate in Kolar Dt - not in Bangalore Dt. There is an instruction in the order that as many toll gates as may be necessary may be opened, but in regard to State Rds this number is limited to that given in appendix IV. Draft proceedings put up for approval of the Govt of Madras in Mr. Anantaraman's letter will be seen to

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

2337

App. F. III—27

General and Revenue Secretariat. KB File No. 52 of 1927

Serial No.	
	Other Dy. Comrs. + thanas MW 22/12
5167/2-21-12	Finl. Member) Released. DL 23/12/27 Issue MW 23/12
B KB. 29/12/27	Letter no. L 3760/KB. 52-27-16 dated 27. 12. 27 to the Pdt Dist. Bd. Tumkur & other DCs regarding the establishment of frontier & interior toll-gates.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0824—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

CENTRAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

D.O. No. L 2503 Enone Dated, Bangalore, 7th Sept 27

Dear Mr. Anantaraman,

As desired in your D.O.No.162 dated 3rd September 1927, I am sending herewith a copy of the report of the Joint Tell Committee as it was finally sent up to Government. I am to request you to kindly state in detail the variation you consider to exist between the recommendation of the Committee and the order of Government thereon.

Yours sincerely,

7/9

To

K.V.Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
TUMKUR.

Join.
Draft.

D.O. No. Ge 162/27-28.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
and President, District Board,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Dated Tumkur,..the...18th..Novr. 27

Dear sir,

With reference to your D.O. No. L. 2503/Enc. one dated the 7th instant forwarding a copy of the report of the Joint Toll Committee as it was finally sent up to Government, and requesting me to state in detail the variation existing between the recommendations of the Committee and the order of Government thereon, I write to point out that as regards the establishment of Interior road toll-gates on State Fund roads, the recommendations of the Joint Committee were to the effect that no more than one tollgate may be established in the interior, at the frontier of Districts; that as the unit of administration and control for the Provincial roads passing through different Districts is the same, the establishment of a tollgate in each of the two road joining Districts is not desirable; that it is enough if one toll-gate on a Provincial road at the frontier of a District is established; and that such frontier toll-gate may not be established at a greater distance than 5 miles from the frontiers. (Vide para 7 of the report of the Joint Committee).

This point has not been made clear in the Government Order of the 9th June 1927, as can be seen from para B 2(2) of the order which runs as follows:-

" Interior toll-gates may be established as in appendix III. The District Boards should be consulted about the gates proposed to be opened on the District Fund Roads. ..... ".

This, in the absence of some clause to explain the views of the Joint Committee, would mean that interior toll-gates on State Fund roads may be established both in the interior and at the frontiers of Districts, and it is likewise construed by some officers.

I, therefore, request you to be so good as to make the point more clear in the Government Order of the 9th June 1927 re: the establishment of Interior tollgates on State Fund roads in the light of the opinion furnished by the Joint Committee.

Yours sincerely,

M. Venkatesha Iyengar, Esquire, M.A.,
Under Secretary to Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

No L 27760/Ls 52-29-16 60

D/ 24-12-27

Dear Sir,

I am desired to refer to your D.O.No. G.C.162/27-28 dated the 18th November - 1927 regarding the order on the Report of the Joint Committee on Tollgates. You say that the instruction regarding the opening of interior tollgates in para 2 (2) is capable of being construed as authorising separate toll-gates in adjoining Districts on State roads as on District Fund Roads and that this is contrary to the views of the Joint Committee.

I am desired to observe that permission is given in the order to the - opening of as many toll-gates as may be necessary only on District Fund Roads. On State roads the opening of toll-gates is permitted on the roads mentioned in appendix III and in the districts - against which they are mentioned. For example, a toll-gate may be established on the Hosakote-Chintamani Road and - another on the Kolar-Hosakote Road. The former should be established in Bangalore District and the latter in Kolar district Though the the former road passes into ~~the~~ Kolar district there should be no toll-gate on the road in Kolar District and similarly none in Bangalore district on the latter road. There can thus be only one toll-gate on each of

these State Fund Roads mentioned in appendix III. Such toll-gate will be located in the district against which it is mentioned in the order and not more than five miles from the district boundary.

Yours sincerely,

DRAFT.

The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur.

Copy to other Deputy Commissioners of Districts. + the Rev Comm & Ch Engr.